	Application No.	Annlicantica	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/081,700 Examiner	TAY ET AL. Art Unit	
	Chester T. Barry	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication at All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATEN of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1	S IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED it -85) or other appropriate comm T RIGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THI	S ative
1. This communication is responsive to <u>3/11/04</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-15.			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>22 February 2002</u> are accepted	by the Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priori	have been received. have been received in Application of this communication to fill DNMENT of this application. ubmitted. Note the attached EX agives reason(s) why the oath of must be submitted. sperson's Patent Drawing Reviewer's Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Ceposit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No d in this national stage application from the areply complying with the requirements AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF redeclaration is deficient. W (PTO-948) attached r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the	e
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Depo of Biological Material	48) 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No SB/08), 7. ☒ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allowance — Chester T. Barry 571-272-1152 direct	٠.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

Examiner Comment:

Of the two 132 declarations to which applicants refer in their timely response, only the declaration by Joo-Hwa Tay was received, image scanned, and uploaded to the PTO's Image File Wrapper System by which the examiner considers IFW application papers. It's unclear to the examiner why the other declaration was not accessible. The point is moot, however, because the Tay declaration alone is sufficient to follow the lead of <u>In re Katz</u> and has successfully overcome the rejections of record. If applicants wish to resubmit the other 132 declaration, they may, but it is unnecessary from the examiner's point of view: Tay's declaration represents that the individual named as an author of non-patent literature was working under the direction and control of one or more of the two named inventors.

Claims 1 - 15 are allowed.

Chester T Barry

571-272-1152

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

¹ If applicants elect to refile a true copy of the other declaration, the Office apologizes for the added expense and inconvenience incurred by them to the extent that mislocation of the declaration was due to Office action or inaction.